




Soil characterization of horticultural greenhouses in northern Uruguay

Editor

Florencia Alliaume Molfino 
*Universidad de la República,
Montevideo, Uruguay.*

Correspondence

Daniel Silveira
dsilveiraisoardi@gmail.com

Received 15 May 2019

Accepted 17 Aug 2020



Published 27 Oct 2020

Citation

Silveira D, Barbazán M. Soil characterization of horticultural greenhouses in northern Uruguay. *Agrocien- cia Uruguay* [Internet]. 2020 [cited dd mmm yyyy];24(2):51. Available from: <http://agrocien- ciauruguay.uy/ojs/index.php/agrocien- cia/article/view/51>

Caracterización de suelos bajo invernáculos de la zona hortícola norte de Uruguay

Caracterização de solos sob estufas na zona hortícola do norte do Uruguai

Silveira, D. ¹; Barbazán, M. ²

¹*Ministerio de Ganadería Agricultura y Pesca, Dirección General de Recursos Naturales, Salto, Uruguay.*

²*Universidad de la República, Facultad de Agronomía, Departamento de Suelos y Aguas, Montevideo, Uruguay.*



Abstract

The crops under cover constitute a very important production mode within the horticulture of northern Uruguay, but there is little information on the current state of these soils. The objective of this study was to characterize some physicochemical properties of soils under greenhouses with tomato cultivation (*Solanum lycopersicum*) and to relate them to soil management practices. For this, 30 commercial properties were selected from the horticultural area of northern Uruguay near the city of Salto. Soil samples were taken from the most representative greenhouse, at the end of the crop's productive period, from each farm. Additional information was collected such as area by production mode, number of consecutive crops in the same greenhouse, yield obtained in the harvest under study, and soil management. The high values observed for organic soil carbon (OSC), pH, available P, and mineral N suggest the need to decrease the application rates or frequency of organic amendments and/or fertilizers. The high concentrations of Na⁺ in the surface and NO₃⁻ in the subsurface horizons indicate the need to improve irrigation management. This information could be used as a basis to outline aspects to delve into, for rational management of amendments and nutrients, and irrigation water in soils under these production systems.

Keywords: mulch, organic amendment, electrical conductivity, tomato

Resumen

Los cultivos bajo cubierta constituyen una modalidad de producción muy importante dentro de la horticultura del norte de Uruguay, pero existe escasa información sobre el estado actual de esos suelos. El objetivo de este estudio fue caracterizar algunas propiedades físico-químicas de suelos bajo invernáculos con cultivo de tomate (*Solanum lycopersicum*) y relacionarlas con prácticas de manejo del suelo. Para ello se seleccionaron 30 predios comerciales de la zona hortícola del norte de Uruguay cercana a la ciudad de Salto. Del invernáculo más representativo de cada predio se tomaron muestras de suelos al final del período productivo del cultivo y se recabó información adicional, como superficie por modalidad de producción, número de cultivos consecutivos en el mismo invernáculo, rendimiento obtenido en la zafra en estudio y manejo del suelo. Los altos valores observados de carbono orgánico del suelo (COS), pH, P disponible y N mineral sugieren la necesidad de ajustar las dosis y/o frecuencias de aplicación de enmiendas orgánicas y fertilizantes. Las altas concentraciones de Na⁺ en horizontes superficiales y de NO₃⁻ en horizontes superficiales al final del período del cultivo indican la necesidad de mejorar el manejo del agua para riego. Esta información podría servir de base para delinear aspectos en los cuales profundizar para un manejo racional de fuentes orgánicas e inorgánicas, y del agua de riego en suelos bajo estos sistemas de producción.

Palabras clave: mantillo, enmienda orgánica, conductividad eléctrica, tomate

Resumo

As culturas cobertas são um método de produção muito importante na produção de frutas no norte do Uruguai, mas há poucas informações sobre o estado atual desses solos. O objetivo deste trabalho foi caracterizar algumas propriedades físico-químicas de solos de casa de vegetação com cultivo de tomate (*Solanum lycopersicum*) e relacioná-las às práticas de manejo do solo. Para isso, foram selecionados 30 imóveis comerciais na área de horticultura do norte do Uruguai próximo à cidade de Salto. As amostras de solo foram retiradas da casa de vegetação mais representativa ao final do período produtivo da cultura, de cada local. Foram coletadas informações adicionais como área por modo de produção, número de safras consecutivas na mesma casa de vegetação, produtividade obtida na safra em estudo e manejo do solo. Os elevados valores observados para o carbono orgânico do solo (OSC), pH, P disponível e N mineral sugerem a necessidade de diminuir as taxas de



aplicação ou frequência de fertilizantes orgânicos e / ou fertilizantes. As altas concentrações de Na^+ na superfície e NO_3^- nos horizontes subsuperficiais indicam a necessidade de melhorar o manejo da irrigação. Essas informações podem servir de base para delinear aspectos a serem estudados em profundidade, para o manejo racional de nutrientes, alterações orgânicas e água de irrigação nos solos desses sistemas de produção.

Palavras-chave: cobertura morta, aditivo orgânico, condutividade elétrica, tomate

1. Introduction

Protected or under-cover crops are a very important form of production within Uruguay's horticulture, particularly in the northern area. This zone, which accounts for 21% of the total area dedicated to horticulture, contributes 39% of national horticultural production and concentrates 81% of total protected production⁽¹⁾. The most common protected crops in this area are, tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*), and pepper (*Capsicum annuum*), produced in winter and spring ("early crops" or "off-season crops") to supply the domestic market, especially in Montevideo. The main destination of tomato cultivation is fresh consumption (table tomato). In 2009, the off-season tomato production reached 21.6 thousand tons, accounting for 68% of the total national supply for fresh consumption⁽¹⁾.

Within the northern horticultural area, located in the departments of Artigas, Salto, and Paysandú, the production near the city of Salto is of great importance, developed mainly on "Argisoles Dúcticos Ócricos" soils of the Salto Unit. These soils are loamy-sand and sandy-loam, with moderate to good drainage and, in their natural state, slightly acidic (pH 5.5), of very low natural fertility, with low organic matter content (10-20 g kg⁻¹) and cationic exchange capacity at pH 7 (CIC_{pH7}) lower than 10 cmol kg⁻¹)⁽²⁾⁽³⁾.

It is common in these horticultural production systems to carry out repeated tillage to prepare the planting bed and fight weeds⁽⁴⁾. In addition, organic amendments are usually added to improve some physical soil properties, such as water infiltration and retention, macroporosity, etc.⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾, and also as a pest control strategy (biofumigation)⁽⁷⁾⁽⁸⁾⁽⁹⁾. Another common technique is to cover the soil with plastic to induce hydrothermal processes to control pests and weeds (solarization)⁽¹⁰⁾⁽¹¹⁾.

Numerous studies have shown that the over-application of organic and inorganic amendments together with frequent tillage can lead to changes in nutrient dynamics, acidification, and/or accumulation of salts in the soil, which compromise the sustainability of the production system and the environment. High N inputs can cause nitrate leaching losses (NO_3^-) in depth, denitrification and volatilization of ammonium (NH_4^+)⁽¹²⁾, in addition to an increase in crop susceptibility to some diseases⁽¹³⁾. Also, the application of ammoniacal sources of N in high doses, as well as highly extractive continuous crops or organic matter loss in the soil can decrease cation content and cause acidification, even in no-tillage systems, as demonstrated by a recent study in agricultural soils in Uruguay⁽¹⁴⁾. The accumulation of P in the surface layer of the soil may increase the risk of losses from surface runoff and even by leaching or preferential flow⁽¹⁵⁾. Salts that accumulate in the soil rooting zone come from the supply of salt-rich irrigation water, organic amendments, fertilizers, or other chemicals, or natural mechanisms such as the mineralization of soil organic matter, the upward movement of ions with evapotranspiration water, or selective absorption by crops⁽¹⁶⁾⁽¹⁷⁾. This accumulation of salts can negatively affect crop yield or increase soil pH and change the distribution of interchangeable cations⁽⁶⁾. Salt levels corresponding to electrical conductivity (EC) of between 4 and 8 dS m⁻¹ (measured in saturated paste extract) affect most crops' growth, although there are moderately sensitive crops which developments are affected by EC values between 2 and 4 dS m⁻¹, such as tomato and pepper⁽¹⁸⁾⁽¹⁹⁾. Increasing pH in the soil may decrease the availability of micronutrients (Fe, Zn, and Mn), and induce deficiencies in plants⁽⁶⁾⁽²⁰⁾. In soils with horticultural production under plastic cover in Uruguay, the high observed pH values (between 6.5 and 7.5) in light-textured soils in the northern area were attributed to the continued



use of waters rich in calcium bicarbonate⁽²¹⁾. According to an irrigation water characterization study in Uruguay, well water used for irrigation in the northern area presents low salt concentration (EC lower than 1 dS m^{-1}), a low risk of sodicity problems, and predominance of Ca bicarbonate (conversation with J. Zamalvide; unreferenced).

Although the northern horticultural area and, therefore, tomato as its main crop are of great relevance to the country, information on the current state of soils in that area with horticultural crops in greenhouse is scarce⁽²¹⁾. Given the natural characteristics of these soils, together with common management practices (frequent tillage, high doses of organic and inorganic nutrient sources and irrigation), some properties may have been affected, negatively affecting crop production or presenting a potential environmental risk. Therefore, the objective of this study was to characterize soils under greenhouses


in the northern part of the country, by analyzing some physicochemical properties and linking them with typical management practices of those production systems. For this, greenhouses in horticultural farms near the city of Salto were selected.

2. Material and methods

2.1 Selection of farms and greenhouses

From the horticultural area located around the city of Salto, 30 commercial farms were selected, with tomato under greenhouse as their core business. The sample, 236⁽²²⁾ farms, constitutes almost 13% of the total number of farms dedicated to protected tomato crops in the north and covers representative situations in terms of production and general crop management. Figure 1 shows the location of the selected farms.

Figure 1. Location of the farms studied





2.2 Information on management practices

A survey was conducted with the people in charge of each farm, completing a form with pre-established questions, to know general aspects such as: total area; protected crop area (greenhouses, macro-tunnels and micro-tunnels), and field crop area (uncovered). In addition, information on crop management was collected regarding: yield obtained in the year of study, used varieties, number of consecutive tomato crops in the greenhouse, organic amendments, and applied fertilizers (dose, and form and time of application).

Based on the information provided on amendments and bibliography values, the nutrient supply was estimated. The number of consecutive tomato crops in the same greenhouse was taken as an indicator of the management history, assuming that the same practices were carried out for soil work, application of organic amendments, fertilization and irrigation.

In all the studied farms, the greenhouses had fixed wooden structures covered with transparent plastic. After harvesting each crop, tillage was carried out with chisel plow and cultivator, and roto-tiller or disk-plow were used to make the furrows (approximately 0.20 m high, 0.80 m wide and a variable length between 80 and 100 m).

2.3 Soil sampling

The most representative greenhouse of each farm was selected as indicated by the interviewee, seeking heterogeneity in the history of horticultural use. From the selected greenhouse process, soil samples were taken in 2011 during the November-December period, which coincides with the completion of the production cycle of tomato cultivation in the study area. Soil composite samples (at least 12) were taken with a borer randomly, at two depths (0 to 20 and 20 to 40 cm), avoiding the edges of the furrows. Before their physicochemical characterization, the samples were dried during 48 h at 40 °C, sieved, and then ground to less than 2 mm. OSC (g kg^{-1}), pH, interchangeable cations (cmol kg^{-1}), cation exchange capacity at pH 7.0 (CEC_{pH7} , cmol kg^{-1}), EC (dS m^{-1}), available P (mg kg^{-1}) and texture were determined in samples from 0 to 20 cm; pH was determined in water and in KCl by potentiometry (using a soil:solution ratio of 1:2.5 v:v). OSC was

obtained by combustion at 900 °C using LECO TruSpec⁽²³⁾ equipment. The interchangeable cations (Ca, Mg, K and Na) were extracted with Ammonium Acetate 1 M at pH 7.0⁽²⁴⁾, and determined by atomic absorption (Ca and Mg) and atomic emission (K and Na). The EC was measured by conductivity (using a soil:solution ratio of 1:1 v:v) (Dahnke and Whitney method⁽²⁵⁾). CEC_{pH7} was determined following the procedure by Camargo and others⁽²⁶⁾. The extractable P was obtained by the Bray 1 method⁽²⁷⁾ and determined by colorimetry according to Murphy and Riley⁽²⁸⁾. The Bouyucus method was used to determine texture⁽²⁹⁾. Ammonium was measured in all samples (NH_4^+) (mg kg^{-1}) by the colorimetric method⁽³⁰⁾, and nitrate (NO_3^-) (mg kg^{-1}) by potentiometry, according to Gelderman and Beegle⁽³¹⁾. All chemical and physical analyses were carried out at the Soil Laboratory of the Alberto Boerger Experimental Station, INIA, La Estanzuela (Colonia, Uruguay).

2.4 Data analysis

Descriptive statistics summarized the information provided by the interviewees and the data from the physicochemical determinations of soil samples. The relationships between the measured variables and the data provided were studied using Pearson correlation coefficients (r) and multiple linear regression. A value of P equal to 0.05 was considered significant.

3. Results

3.1 General characteristics of farms and management practices

The total area of the surveyed farms ranged from 2 to 39 ha, although the majority (80%) had an area smaller than 15 ha (Figure 2A). In addition, the average greenhouse area was 1.5 ha or smaller, in 83% of the cases (Figure 2B).

Out of the 30 farms, 19 had field crops, apart from the greenhouse production, and eight had crops under macro and micro-tunnels (Table 1).

Organic amendments, mineral or inorganic fertilizers, and irrigation are applied on all selected farms as usual practices. The most frequently applied amendment (60%) is a mixture of cattle manure with



remnants of soil (mulch) and shelter trees, followed by cattle manure (poultry manure) (37%) and waste of other crops (such as pepper or sorghum (*Sorghum spp.*)) (20%). The average dose of mulch is 50 Mg ha⁻¹, but it varies between 20 and 82 Mg ha⁻¹ of dry matter. According to interviewees,

amendments are applied into the soil during January and February for biofumigation, or a few days prior to transplantation in March. In the greenhouses studied, solarization is also carried out (Table 1).

Figure 2. Number of farms according to total area (A) and number of farms according to average area per greenhouse (B)




Table 1. Information on surface distribution, tomato variety, organic amendments and fertilizers applied to the studied farms.

Area (ha)	Number of farms	Additional information †
Total	30	10 (2 - 39)
Greenhouses	30	1.03 (0.12 - 3.00)
Macrotunnels	1	1
Microtunnels	7	0.63 (0.20 - 1.05)
Field crops	19	2.50 (0.25 - 10.00)
Variety of tomato	30	Elpida
Organic amendments	27	Way and time of application: incorporated to the soil, before transplantation.
	18	Mulch. Dose: 50 (20-82) Mg ha ⁻¹ of dry matter
	11	Poultry manure. Dose: [10 (7 - 12) Mg ha ⁻¹].
	6	Pepper and sorghum remains.
Biofumigation	30	
Solarization	30	
Irrigation by dripping	30	
Fertilization	30	Dose of N, P and K (kg ha ⁻¹): 90.84 and 201 kg and Mg (80-100 kg ha ⁻¹ of MgO). Way and time of application: incorporated to the soil, before transplantation. During growth: Foliar Ca

† Average values and between parenthesis, minimum and maximum.



On all premises, water is applied by drip irrigation system, in a total volume of approximately 400 mm, distributed according to crop demand (Table 1).

Of the total N and K applied as fertilizers, approximately 23% of N and 30% of K are applied to the soil before transplantation. The rest of these nutrients are applied during the crop cycle through irrigation water. Almost all P is incorporated into the crop transplant (Table 1). According to the interviewees, inorganic fertilizer doses are recommended in the area and are based on the amounts a tomato crop would require to produce 150 Mg ha⁻¹. None of the farms regularly analyzes soils, irrigation water or amendments.

3.2 General characteristics of sample greenhouses

On all grounds, the tomato variety used was Elpida; but the yield information obtained in the 2011 harvest in the sample greenhouses was provided on 20 of the 30 premises, as the rest had no records. The average crop yield in the selected greenhouses was 131 Mg ha⁻¹, although it ranged from 90 to 190 Mg ha⁻¹ (Table 2). Except for Mg, no relationships were observed between yield and the rest of the variables studied (Table 3). The number of consecutive tomato crops in the selected greenhouses was negatively related to the total area of the site (Table 3).

Table 2. Statistical summary of farm areas, greenhouses, number of consecutive tomato crops, yield and chemical properties of soils in the studied greenhouses.

	Mean	SD	Maximum	Minimum	Median	25%	75%
Total farm area (ha)	10.4	8.5	39	2	8	5	13.5
Greenhouse area (ha)	1.0	0.7	3	0.1	0.8	0.6	1.2
No. consecutive crops	9	5	18	2	9	5	14
Yield, Mg ha ⁻¹	131	31	190	90	120	100	150
Mulch, Mg DM ha ⁻¹	50	15	82	20	49	41	60
SOC, g kg ⁻¹	14.34	4.1	23.5	7.8	13.7	11.4	18.5
pH water	7.3	0.6	8.4	6.1	7.4	6.8	7.9
pH KCl	6.57	0.572	7.5	5.5	6.7	6.1	7.1
Ca, cmol kg ⁻¹	8.04	2.98	15.7	3.6	7.4	5.8	9.2
Mg, cmol kg ⁻¹	1.69	0.61	3.1	0.8	1.5	1.2	2.3
K, cmol kg ⁻¹	0.409	0.257	1.15	0.05	0.37	0.25	0.48
Na, cmol kg ⁻¹	0.624	0.372	2.24	0.2	0.545	0.43	0.69
CEC pH7, cmol kg ⁻¹	11.443	3.70	20.4	5.6	10.75	8.4	12.7
NO ₃ ⁻ (0-20), mg kg ⁻¹	36	37	161	6	20	12	50
NH ₄ ⁺ (0-20), mg kg ⁻¹	12	12	44	2	7	5	13
Mineral N (0-20), mg kg ⁻¹	48	38	168	9	36	22	63
NO ₃ ⁻ (20-40), mg kg ⁻¹	19	30	166	3	12	7	17
NH ₄ ⁺ (20-40), mg kg ⁻¹	16	22	108	2	6	5	16
Mineral N (20-40), mg kg ⁻¹	34	35	171	6	22	14	40
EC, dS m ⁻¹	1.25	0.63	2.93	0.52	1.04	0.81	1.46
P Bray 1, mg kg ⁻¹	74	25	137	2	78	65	89
Sand, %	82	9	96	59	84	76	88
Silt, %	9.2	4	20	2	8	6	12
Clay, %	8.7	5	20	2	8	4	10

† n = 20; ‡ n = 18



3.3 Physicochemical soil properties

The dominant texture in the first 20 cm of depth varied between loamy-sand and sandy-loam, with similar sand, silt and clay values between farms (Table 2). These values correspond to fractions after sieving for the separation of the thickest fraction (gravel).

In general, the soils presented high values of SOC, pH, P, and very low values of K.

The SOC levels in the studied soils correspond to values between 11 and 32 g kg⁻¹ of SOM (Table 2). The SOC was positively related to Ca, Mg and K and also to the level of NO₃⁻ at the two sampling depths (Table 3). Based on multiple linear regression analysis, SOC increased when increasing the total area of the farm and interchangeable Ca content (Table 4).

Table 3. Pearson correlation coefficients (r) between total farm and greenhouse area, number of consecutive tomato crops, yield obtained in the studied cycle, amount of applied amendment, and chemical properties of the soil.

	No. Crops	Yield	SOC	Water pH	Ca	Mg	K	Na	NO ₃ ⁻ (0-20)	NH ₄ ⁺ (0-20)	NO ₃ ⁻ (20-40)	NH ₄ ⁺ (20-40)	EC	P Bray 1
Area Total	-0.37			-0.38	0.32			0.34						-0.31
Area Greenhouse		0.41								-0.31				
No. crops				0.47	0.35									0.31
Yield			0.43	-0.41		0.49								
SOC				-0.33	0.68	0.70	0.38		0.55		0.49		0.35	
Water pH									-0.4					
Ca						0.85			0.32	-0.31	0.35			
Mg							0.44		0.40		0.39			
K									0.51		0.59		0.52	0.32
Na													0.46	
NO ₃ ⁻ (0-20)											0.80		0.74	
NH ₄ ⁺ (0-20)												0.85		
NO ₃ ⁻ (20-40)													0.51	

† Area. Total: Total farm area; ‡ Area. Greenhouse: Greenhouse area


The pH was positively related to the number of consecutive tomato crops, and negatively to both farm area and level of NO₃⁻ (Table 3). According to the multiple linear regression analysis, the pH increased with the number of consecutive tomato crops in the greenhouse and decreased with the level of NO₃⁻ at 20 cm deep (Table 4).

Regarding K, although the mean and median were similar, values as low as 0.05 cmol kg⁻¹ and as high as 1.15 cmol kg⁻¹ (Table 2) were observed. Ca and Mg, and Mg and K contents were positively related to each other (Table 3). The Ca:Mg cationic ratio was lower than 10 in most soils, but ratios of Ca:K greater than 30 (Figure 3A) and K:Mg lower than



0.15 (Figure 3B) were observed. The interchangeable Na values were less than 0.69 cmol kg⁻¹ (which was the 75th percentile), although a value of 2.24 cmol kg⁻¹ was observed (Table 2).

Figure 3. Ratio between interchangeable potassium and Ca:K (A) and K:Mg (B)



The average extractable P measured by the Bray 1 method presented a mean of 74 mg kg⁻¹, with values concentrated between 66 and 89 mg kg⁻¹ (25th and 75th percentile, respectively), although it ranged from 2 to 137 mg kg⁻¹ (Table 2). No significant relationship was found to P=0.05 between the extractable P level with any of the variables analyzed, including the quantities of mulch or the number of consecutive crops in the greenhouse (Table 3).

The dominant fraction of mineral N was NO₃⁻, versus NH₄⁺, although both fractions were highly variable in the two depths (Table 2).

The level of NO₃⁻ in the upper soil layer was positively related to SOC, Mg, K and EC, but negatively to pH (Table 3).

The concentration of NO₃⁻ at 20-40 cm deep was also positively related to the SOC, K, EC, and with the level of NO₃⁻ of the upper layer (Table 3).

In most of the analyzed soils, the EC values were less than 2.0 dS m⁻¹, but soils with values close to 3 dS m⁻¹ (Table 2) were also recorded. A linear and positive relationship was observed between EC and the cations K and Na, and also with levels of NO₃⁻ in both depths (Table 3). According to the multiple linear regression analysis, the EC increased with the increase of Na and NO₃⁻ (Table 4).

Table 4. Multiple linear regression coefficients between soil organic carbon (SOC), pH and electrical conductivity (EC), and properties of the soil or the productive system

	Variable	Coefficient	P Value
SOC	Constant	0.389	0.049
	Total farm area	0.016	0.02
	Ca	0.109	<0.001
pH	Coefficient		P
	Constant	6.981	<0.001
	No. consecutive crops	0.0691	<0.001
	NO ₃ ⁻ (0-20)	-0.00845	0.001
EC	Constant	0.52	0.002
	Na	0.516	0.016
	NO ₃ ⁻ (0-20)	0.011	<0.001

4. Discussion

The chosen variety was the same in all farms and has important characteristics, such as precocity and high yield, among others⁽³²⁾. In the pre-sampling cycle, the average yield of greenhouses with records was below the average reported in that harvest for the north (150 Mg ha⁻¹)⁽¹⁾.



The soils under study are representative of the modal soils described for the area⁽²⁾.

The high values observed for current SOC are attributed to residual effects of the application of high doses of organic amendments. In a study carried out on horticultural soils in southern Uruguay, García de Souza and others⁽³³⁾ found that after six years of annual amendment application to soils with 28.2 Mg ha⁻¹ of SOC, an increase of 1.2 Mg ha⁻¹ of COS was achieved (4% compared to the initial value). These authors applied annually 6 Mg ha⁻¹ of dry matter of "poultry bed" (poultry manure mixed with rice husk, 266 g kg⁻¹ of C) and 5 Mg ha⁻¹ of dry matter from crop remains (such as Oatmeal sativa, *Triticum aestivum*, *Setaria itálica*, among others, with an average of 387 g kg⁻¹ of C). In this study, 2.9 Mg ha⁻¹ C are estimated to become part of the SOC, with the average dose of mulch, assuming a C concentration of 176 g kg⁻¹⁽³⁴⁾, an assimilation ratio of 1:3 and a C:N ratio of soil microorganisms of 10:1⁽³⁴⁾, in addition to considering losses by natural mineralization (3% per year). The effect of mulch aggregate could be considered to have less impact on the SOC due to its C:N ratio (16 according to Barbazán and others⁽³⁵⁾). Organic remains with C:N ratio lower than 20 are expected to have less effect on increasing SOC than those with higher ratios⁽³⁶⁾⁽³⁷⁾⁽³⁸⁾. On the other hand, a higher rate of mineralization of organic remains applied to the soil is expected in soils with lower clay content, such as those in this study, due to greater accessibility of microorganisms and less protection of organic material by clays⁽³⁹⁾. The minor effects on the SOC attributable to this type of amendment in these soils could be compensated by the high doses applied annually (50 Mg ha⁻¹ dry matter, Table 2). Other possible contributions of C in the studied farms, such as crop remains after the end of the production cycle, were not considered in this analysis due to inaccuracy in the reported quantities.

The positive relationship observed between SOC and farm size and between SOC and Ca, Mg and K cations could be attributed to the frequency of soil use (higher in smaller land). A higher frequency of soil use would involve a greater amount of tillage that would induce an increase in the mineralization of SOM and erosion losses, according to

Rabuffetti⁽⁶⁾, in addition to greater extraction of these nutrients by the crops.

Regarding pH, values higher than 7.0 were also observed by Moltini and Zamalvide⁽²¹⁾ in soils and production systems similar to those of our study. The increase in pH with the number of consecutive tomato crops in the same greenhouse partially supports the suggestions of Moltini and Zamalvide⁽²¹⁾ that the continued use of irrigation water rich in Ca bicarbonates (northern irrigation water characteristics, according to these authors) could have increased soil pH, although water was not analyzed in our study. As mentioned above, the number of consecutive tomato crops in the same greenhouse was taken as an indicator of the history of normal practices in the greenhouse (such as the application of organic amendments, inorganic fertilizers and irrigation). Consequently, the high pH observed in the soil could be attributed to the number of salts from irrigation water applied during the crop cycle (approximately 400 mm, Table 2). In addition to irrigation water, the increase in pH could result from the adding of such amendments, as observed by several authors. Eghball⁽⁴⁰⁾, and Whalen and others⁽⁴¹⁾ found an increase in pH in acidic soils after the application of cattle manure, and attributed this to the presence of compounds such as Ca carbonate in the organic amendment, from animal diet (although probably bred in other production systems), and high organic acid content with carboxyl and hydroxyphenolic groups, that would help buffer the soil against changes in pH.

Although the soil values presented in this study correspond to a sampling carried out at the end of the production cycle, the K levels would indicate the need for inputs through potassium fertilization, probably due to the low K buffer power of the soil. Although the amounts of K provided by fertilizers (700 kg ha⁻¹ of K, Table 1) and through organic amendments (165 kg ha⁻¹ of K⁽³⁵⁾, immediately available⁽⁶⁾) are high, both the quantities required (675 kg ha⁻¹ of K) and the extracted with the harvested fruit (466 kg ha⁻¹) are also high for a tomato crop with a yield of 150 Mg ha⁻¹⁽⁴²⁾. The need to adjust potassium fertilization is confirmed by Ca:K ratios greater than 30 and K:Mg ratios lower than 0.15, which suggest that deficiencies of K could be expected⁽⁶⁾.



On the other hand, no situations were detected in which the Mg indicated the probability of deficiency. This is attributed to the fact that with the average dose of mulch, 470 and 145 kg ha⁻¹ of Ca and Mg would be applied, respectively, according to concentrations of 9.4 g kg⁻¹ of Ca and 2.9 g kg⁻¹ of Mg⁽³⁵⁾. Apart from the contributions of Ca and Mg with amendments and irrigation water, it is common to apply these nutrients when transplanting the crop or by the leaves at fruiting (Table 1). Considering the low extraction in the fruit (17 kg ha⁻¹ of Ca and 15 kg ha⁻¹ of Mg)⁽⁴²⁾, a large part of these nutrients would remain in the soil.

Regarding P, the high values observed in the soil are attributed to previous and recent applications of organic and inorganic sources that result in excessive amounts compared to the extracted by the crops. It is estimated that 90 kg ha⁻¹ of P are contributed by the amendment, of which between 30 and 60% could be available the first year⁽⁴³⁾. In a continuous horticultural production system in the south of the country, Docampo and others⁽⁴⁴⁾ found that the addition of poultry manure over a period of 10 years significantly increased the available P in the soil. The values found in our study are higher than those suggested as sufficiency levels reported for demanding crops, such as alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*), which go around 25-30 mg kg⁻¹, measured with P-Bray 1⁽⁴⁵⁾. The difference between the P contributions and the annual extraction by the tomato fruit harvest (30 kg ha⁻¹ of P, according to García and Correndo⁽⁴²⁾) would explain the high values of P.

The higher values of NO₃⁻ compared to NH₄⁺ at both depths, as well as the negative relationship between NO₃⁻ and soil pH, indicate that there were aerobic conditions in the soil that led to the nitrification of N⁽⁶⁾. The high and positive relationship between the concentrations of NO₃⁻ in the two depths suggests the existence of NO₃⁻ leaching from upper strata, facilitated by the soil texture and the repeated irrigation during the crop cycle. These high levels of NO₃⁻ detected in a deep soil sample at the end of the crop cycle constitute a risk for the environment and suggest the need to improve N management through amendments and/or fertilizers. In addition to the N applied as fertilizer, the amendments would add about 600 kg ha⁻¹ of N, assuming a density of 0.6 g

cm⁻³, 68% of dry matter and a total concentration of 11.5 g N kg⁻¹⁽³⁵⁾. The amount available in the first year can vary from a quarter to about half of the total N applied in the amendment⁽⁴⁰⁾⁽⁴¹⁾.

EC values were, in general, lower than the tolerable range indicated for moderately sensitive crops, such as Tanji and Kielen tomatoes⁽¹⁹⁾ (Table 2). Multiple linear regression analysis shows that Na and NO₃⁻ contributed significantly to the EC (Table 4). Except for a very reduced number of grounds with high levels of interchangeable Na (and high pH), in general, situations that could indicate sodicity problems were not observed. Given the low concentration of Na in mulch and, assumingly, in irrigation water (conversation with J. Zamalvide; unreferenced), the accumulation of Na observed in those few cases could be attributed to low efficiency of salt leaching processes. High levels of soil pH associated with high levels of Na require monitoring the Na with specific measurements, together with the knowledge of the water composition and management, and the applied organic and inorganic sources.

5. Conclusions

The information analyzed in this study shows that the soils present high values of pH, SOC and P, but, in some cases, very low K values. The fertilization management has caused excessive contributions of some nutrients (P, N, Ca, Mg) and possibly deficient management of the need for K, as well as unfavorable changes in pH and EC. It is necessary to incorporate technical criteria in fertilization management.

The high values of P suggest the need to diminish its application through fertilizers and/or organic amendments. On the contrary, regarding K, the low levels observed in some soils indicate that the potassium fertilization should be adjusted. The high values of NO₃⁻ in the subsuperficial layer and the relations between EC, NO₃⁻ and Na suggest that it is necessary to control both the N management and the salt leaching. This information might be relevant in developing strategies for integrated management of fertilizers and organic amendments of horticultural production systems under greenhouses.



Acknowledgments

We thank the farm producers that allowed us to carry out this study; as well as the anonymous reviewers for the valuable contributions.

Author contribution statement

Daniel Silveira designed the study and collected the data. Mónica Barbazán contributed to the information analysis and writing of the article.

References

1. Ministerio de Ganadería, Agricultura y Pesca, DIEA (UY); Ministerio de Ganadería, Agricultura y Pesca, DIGEGRA (UY). Encuestas hortícolas 2009: zonas sur y litoral norte [Internet]. Montevideo: MGAP; 2010[cited 2020 Aug 28]. 30p. (Serie encuestas; 290). Available from: <https://bit.ly/2YHvhNc>.
2. Ministerio de Agricultura y Pesca, DSF (UY). Carta de Reconocimiento de Suelos del Uruguay. Montevideo: MAP; 1976. 6v.
3. Durán A, García-Préchac F. Suelos del Uruguay: origen, clasificación, manejo y conservación. Montevideo: Hemisferio Sur; 2007. 2v.
4. Alliaume F, Rossing WAH, García M, Giller KE, Dogliotti S. Changes in soil quality and plant available water capacity following systems re-design on commercial vegetable farms. *Eur J Agron*. 2013;46:10-9.
5. Arboleya J, Gilsanz JC, Alliaume F, Leoni C, Falero M, Guerra S. Manejo sustentable en la producción hortícola intensiva. In: Docampo R, editor. Seminario de actualización técnica: manejo de suelos para producción hortícola sustentable. Montevideo: INIA; 2010. p. 9-20. (Serie Actividades de Difusión; 624).
6. Rabuffetti A. La fertilidad del suelo y su manejo. Montevideo: Hemisferio Sur; 2017. 900p.
7. Gimsing AL, Kirkegaard JA. Glucosinolate and isothiocyanate concentration in soil following incorporation of Brassica biofumigants. *Soil Biol Biochem*. 2006;38(8):2255-64.
8. Taller final de evaluación de alternativas al bromuro de metilo en el sector hortícola de Uruguay. Montevideo: INIA; 2001. 101p. (Serie Actividades de Difusión; 267).
9. Mitidieri M, Brambilla V, Saliva V, Piris E, Piris M, Celié R, Pereyra C, Del Pardo K, Chaves E, González J. Efecto de distintas secuencias de tratamientos de biofumigación sobre parámetros fisicoquímicos y biológicos del suelo, el rendimiento y la salinidad de cultivos de tomate y lechuga bajo cubierta. *Hortic argent*. 2009;28(67):5-17.
10. Katan J, De Vay JE. Soil Solarization: historical perspectives, principles and uses. In: Katan J, De Vay JE, editors. *Soil Solarization*. Boca Raton (FL): CRC Press; 1991. p. 23-37.
11. Arboleya J, Maeso D, Falero M. La solarización de canchales para almácigos de cebolla con polietileno de distintos espesores. *Agrociencia Uruguay*. 2012;16(2):60-6.
12. Stevenson FJ. Origin and distribution of nitrogen in soils. In: Stevenson FJ, editor. *Nitrogen in Agricultural Soils*. Madison (WI): Soil Science Society of America; 1982. p. 1-42.
13. Abro MA, Lecompte F, Bryone F, Nicot PC. Nitrogen fertilization of the host plant influences production and pathogenicity of *Botrytis cinerea* secondary inoculum. *Phytopathology*. 2013;103:261-7.
14. Beretta-Blanco A, Perez O, Carrasco-Letelier L. Soil quality decrease over 13 years of agricultural Production. *Nutr Cycl Agroecosyst*. 2019;114:45-55.
15. Sharpley AA. Agricultural phosphorus and water quality: challenges to science, practice, and policy. In: *Buscando el camino de la intensificación sostenible para la agricultura*. IV Simposio Nacional de Agricultura. VII Encuentro de la SUCS. I Encuentro Regional de Políticas de Conservación de Suelos; 2015 Oct 28-29; Paysandú, Uruguay. Montevideo: Facultad de Agronomía; 2015. p. 49-79.
16. Zhang Y, Jiang Y, Liang W. Accumulation of



soil soluble salt in vegetable greenhouses under heavy application of fertilizers. *Agricultural Journal*. 2006;1(3):123-7.

17. Cuellas MV. Horticultura periurbana, análisis de la fertilidad de los suelos en invernaderos. *Chilean J Agric Anim Sci*. 2017;33(2):163-73.
18. Shannon MC, Grieve CM. Tolerance of vegetable crops to salinity. *Sci Hortic*. 1999;78:5-38.
19. Tanji K, Kielen N. Agricultural drainage water management in arid and semi-arid areas. Rome: FAO; 2002. 189p. (Irrigation and Drainage; 61).
20. Tisdale SL, Nelson WL, Beaton JD, Havlin JL. Soil fertility and fertilizers. 5th ed. New York: Prentice Hall; 1993. 634p.
21. Moltini C, Zamalvide J. Manejo de la Fertilización con boro y potasio en tomate. In: Reunión técnica: resultados experimentales en tomate de mesa. Montevideo: INIA; 2006. p. 18-43. (Serie de Actividades de Difusión; 454).
22. Ministerio de Ganadería, Agricultura y Pesca, DIEA (UY). Anuario estadístico agropecuario 2016 [Internet]. Montevideo: MGAP; 2017 [cited 2020 Aug 28]. 198p. Available from: <https://bit.ly/2EI9IVM>.
23. Wright AF, Bailey JS. Organic carbon, total carbon, and total nitrogen determinations in soils of variable calcium carbonate contents using a Leco CN-2000 dry combustion analyzer. *Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal*. 2001;32:3243-58.
24. Warncke D, Brown JR. Potassium and other basic cations. In: Recommended chemical soil test procedures for the North Central region. Columbia: University of Minnesota; 1998. p. 31-3.
25. Dahnke WC, Whitney DA. Measurement of Soil Salinity. In: Dahnke WC, editor. Recommended chemical soil test procedures for the North Central Region. Illinois: USDA; 1988. p. 32-4. (North Dakota Agricultural Experiment Station Bulletin; 22).
26. Camargo O, Moniz AC, Jorge JA, Valadares JMAS. Métodos de análise química, mineralógica e física de solos do Instituto Agronômico de Campinas. Campinas (BR): Instituto Agronômico;

1986. 94p.

27. Bray RH, Kurtz LT. Determination of total, organic, and available forms of phosphorus in soils. *Soil Sci*. 1945;59:39-45.
28. Murphy J, Riley JP. A modified single solution method for the determination of phosphate in natural waters. *Anal Chim Acta*. 1962;27:31-6.
29. Forsythe W. Física de suelos: manual de laboratorio. Costa Rica: IICA; 1975. 212p.
30. Rhine ED, Sims GH, Mulvaney RL, Pratt EJ. Improving the Berthelot reaction for determining ammonium in soil extracts and water. *Soil Sci Soc Am J*. 1998;62:473-80.
31. Gelderman RH, Beegle D. Nitrate - Nitrogen. In: Recommended chemical soil testing procedures for North Central Region [Internet]. Illinois: Agricultural Experiment Stations of Illinois, Indiana, Iowa; 1998 [corrected 2011; cited 2020 Aug 28]. p. 17-20. (North Central Regional Research Publication; 221). Available from: <https://bit.ly/34FOq6f>.
32. Berrueta C, Giménez G, Lenzi A, Rezano A, Ibañez F. Evaluación de híbridos de tomate de mesa a campo para la región sur, zafra 2009-2010. In: Resultados experimentales en cultivo de tomate. Montevideo: INIA; 2010. p. 47-62. (Serie Actividades de Difusión; 606).
33. García de Souza M, Alliaume F, Mancassola V, Dogliotti S. Carbono orgánico y propiedades físicas del suelo en predios hortícolas del sur de Uruguay. *Agrociencia Uruguay*. 2011;15(1):70-81.
34. Van Veen JA, Ladd JN, Frissel MJ. Modelling C and N turnover through the microbial biomass in soil. *Plant Soil*. 1984;76:257-84.
35. Barbazán M, del Pino A, Moltini C, Hernández J, Rodríguez J, Beretta A. Caracterización de materiales orgánicos aplicados en sistemas agrícolas intensivos de Uruguay. *Agrociencia Uruguay*. 2011;15(1):82-92.
36. Rochette P, Angers DA, Chantigny MH, Gagnon B, Bertrand N. In situ Mineralization of Dairy Cattle Manures as Determined using Soil-Surface Carbon Dioxide Fluxes. *Soil Sci Soc Am J*.



2006;70(3):744-52.

37. Qian P, Schoenau JJ. Availability of nitrogen in solid manure amendments with different C:N ratio. *Can J Soil Sci.* 2002;82:219-25.

38. del Pino A, Repetto C, Mori C, Perdomo C. Patrones de descomposición de estiércoles en el suelo. *Terra Latinoamericana.* 2008;26:43-52.

39. Sorensen P, Jensen ES. Mineralization of carbon and nitrogen from fresh and anaerobically stored sheep manure in soils of different texture. *Biol Fertil Soils.* 1995;19:29-35

40. Eghball B. Liming effects of beef cattle feedlot manure or compost. *Commun Soil Sci Plan.* 1999;30(19-20):2563-70.

41. Whalen JK, Chang C, Clayton GW, Carefoot JP. Cattle manure amendments can increase the pH of acid soils. *Soil Sci Soc Am J.* 2000;64:962-6.

42. García FO, Correndo AA. Cálculo de requerimientos nutricionales. Version 2016 [Internet]. [place unknown]: IPNI; 2016 [cited 2020

Aug 28]. Available from: <https://bit.ly/3hCptwf>.

43. Eghball B, Power JF. Phosphorus and nitrogen-based manure and compost application: corn production and soil phosphorus. *Soil Sci Soc Am J.* 1999;63:895-901.

44. Docampo R, García C, Rabuffetti A. Evolución del rendimiento y de las propiedades del suelo en diferentes secuencias de cultivos en producción hortícola. In: Docampo R, editor. Seminario de actualización técnica: manejo de suelos para producción hortícola sustentable. Montevideo: INIA; 2010. p. 59-68. (Serie Actividades de Difusión; 624).

45. Hernández J. Respuesta al agregado de fósforo en pasturas con leguminosas en las planicies del Este. In: Bermúdez R, Ayala W, editors. Seminario de actualización técnica: fertilización fosfatada de pasturas en la Región Este. Montevideo: INIA; 2008. p. 121-30. (Serie Técnica; 172).